

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		•			
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/797,259	03/10/2004	Kevin Ptasienski	7377-000009/US	5363	
28997 7	7590 06/23/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
HARNESS, DICKEY, & PIERCE, P.L.C 7700 BONHOMME, STE 400			FASTOVSKY, LEONID M		
ST. LOUIS, M	,	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ŕ			3742		
			D. TE M. H. ED. 04 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	_	

DATE MAILED: 06/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summan	10/797,259	PTASIENSKI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The SEAU MAD DATE COL	Leonid M. Fastovsky	3742				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
- A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Fe	phruany 2005	•				
<u> </u>	action is non-final.					
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims		•				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 20-26 is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-19 and 27 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 28 February 2005 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objecte drawing(s) be held in.abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/14/05.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/797,259

Art Unit: 3742

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

#### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-19 and 27, drawn to a layered heater, classified in class 219, subclass 543.
  - II. Claims 20-26, drawn to a method of forming a resistive circuit pattern of layered heater, classified in class 29, subclass 610.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the layered heater can be formed by a different process such as screen printing.
- 3. During a telephone conversation with Ms. K. Burris on 6/6/05 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 19 and 27. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 20-26 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.
- 4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Art Unit: 3742

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 6. Claims 1, 4-10, 12, 17-18 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wakasugi (JP408213202).

Wakasugi teaches a layer heater comprising at least one inherently thick film resistive layer 3 comprising a resistive circuit pattern (Fig. 1-10), the resistive circuit pattern defining a length and a thickness, wherein the thickness varies along the length of the resistive circuit and Wakasugi's resistive circuit inherently produces a variable watt density because of the various resistive thickness.

As for claims 4-5,10 and 12, see Fig. 2-4 and 6-9 where the pattern 3 has the width that is constant or variable.

As for claim 7, the resistive pattern 3 is a group of series (Fig. 2) or parallel (Fig. 7).

Application/Control Number: 10/797,259 Page 4

Art Unit: 3742

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 2-3 and 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wakasugi in view of Marsten et al (2,629,166).

Wakasugi teaches substantially the claimed invention, but does not teach constant or various spacing. Marsten discloses a resistor assembly with resistive circuit patterns (Fig. 5-17), having strips 24 and having different width so they have different resistant values and also having constant and various spacing (Col. 4, lines 65-70 and Fig. 6) It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify Wakasugi's invention to include resistive circuit patterns and a spacing as taught by Marsten in order to improve heating characteristics of the heater.

9. Claims 15-16 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wakasugi in view of Juliano et al.

Wakasugi teaches substantially the claimed invention, but does not teach dielectric layer and protective layer. Juliano teaches substantially the claimed invention having a heater system (Fig. 6) comprising a plurality film resistive element 86, a dielectric layer 84 and protective layer 48. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify Wakasugi's invention to include a dielectric layer and a protective layer

Art Unit: 3742

as taught by Juliano in order to protect the heating structure and a plurality of resistive

Page 5

layers to improve heating characteristics of the heater.

10. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Wakasugi in view of Colbert et al (3,010,850).

Wakasugi discloses substantially the claimed invention, but does not disclose a resistor

comprising a material having a variable composition. Colbert discloses a resistive layer

12 that may be of a variable composition (col. 11, lines 34-45). It would have been

obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify Wakasugi's invention to include

a resistor comprising a material having a variable composition as taught by Colbert in

order to vary an electrical conductivity of the heater.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-19 have been considered but are moot in

view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Leonid M Fastovsky whose telephone number is 571-

272-4778. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th. 8.00 am -6.00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Robin Evans can be reached on 571-272-4777. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/797,259

Art Unit: 3742

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Leonid M Fastovsky

Examiner Art Unit 3742

Imf

ROBIN O. EVANS